**Rebuttal Letter,  
Second revision of JoVE58533R1**

**Dear Editor,   
  
Thanks for your careful reading and commenting on our manuscript. Please find our responses (in italics) to your individual comments below.**

**Sincerely,**

**Rune E Kuhre and Jens J Holst**

**Editorial comments:**  
  
1. The editor has formatted the manuscript to match the journal's style. Please retain the same. *Thanks.*

2. Please address all specific comments marked in the manuscript. *All comments have been addressed, see corrections in text as well as our inserted comments; note that for some reasons our initials are not indicated in the comments as they were converted by Word to the same initials as the editor; “A”.*

3. For the protocol section, please do not generalize the experiment, please provide all specifics of how you did your experiment with all necessary details. *Thank you, we have increased the number of details.*   
4. Please use imperative tense as if directing someone to do the experiment. Please ensure that each step is a hard experimental step. *Thanks for pointing this to our attention. We have deleted some steps that were not hard experimental steps and have changed to imperative tense throughout the protocol section.*

5. The numbering of the steps and substeps are off. Please check. *Now corrected, thanks.*

6. Once formatted, please check that the protocol is no more than 10 pages in length.*The protocol is now 6 pages.*

7. Please highlight 2.75 pages including heading and spacings for filming purpose. Please ensure that this should form the most cohesive story of the protocol presented. *Done.*

8. Please proofread the manuscript carefully before submission. *The manuscript has been carefully proofread.*